



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/849,107	05/04/2001		Stephen Andrew Brodsky	STL920000075US2	1986
48838	7590	03/16/2005	EXAMINER		INER
JEFFREY			BAYARD, DJENANE M		
51 EAST CAMPBELL AVENUE CAMPBELL, CA 95008				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2141	
				DATE MAILED: 03/16/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
0.55	09/849,107	BRODSKY ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit .	
	Djenane M Bayard	2141	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ja	nuary 2005.		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E			
Disposition of Claims			
4)	vn from consideration.  7 is/are rejected.	n.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	<b>-</b>		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	. 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2141

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This is in response to amendment filed on 1/11/05 in which claims 1-3, 5-18, 20-32, 34-44, 46-56, 59-67 are pending.

## Response to Arguments

In the previous office action mailed on 10/06/04, Applicant was requested to amend or cancel the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope in order to overcome the statutory type (35 U.S.C 101) double patenting rejection. However, claims 1-3, 16-18, 30-32, 44 and 55 – 56, 59-60 are still coextensive in scope. The fact that Applicant changed the name "first language" to "first source language" and "the language" to "first target language" does not change the scope in regards to claims 1-4, 6-9, 11-14, 16-17 and 19-24 of Co-pending application No. 09/849377. Therefore, claims 1-3, 5-18, 20-32, 34-44, 46-56, 59-67 stand rejected as previously stated in office action mailed on 10/06/04 and this case is made FINAL.

## Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101, which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1 is provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1 and 4of copending Application No. 09/849377. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 09/849,107 Page 3

Art Unit: 2141

Claims 2-3 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 2-3 of copending Application No. 09/849377. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claims 16 is provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 6 and 9 of copending Application No. 09/849377. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claims 17 and 18 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 7 and 8 of copending Application No. 09/849377. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claims 30 is provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 11 and 14 of copending Application No. 09/849377. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claims 31 and 32 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 112-13 of copending Application No. 09/849377. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claims 44 is provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 16 and 17 of copending Application No. 09/849377. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claims 55 is provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 19-21 of copending Application No. 09/849377. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Art Unit: 2141

Claims 59-60 provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 23-24 of copending Application No. 09/849377. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Djenane M Bayard whose telephone number is (571) 272-3878. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday 5:30 AM- 3:00 PM..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rupal Dharia can be reached on (571) 272-3880. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/849,107

Art Unit: 2141

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Djenane Bayard

Patent Examiner

RUPAL DHARIA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER